

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date
10 April 2003 (10.04.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/028587 A2

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: A61F 2/00 (74) Agent: GERSHON, Neil, D.; Chief Patent Counsel, Rex Medical, 2023 Summer Street, Stamford, CT 06905 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/30263 (81) Designated States (national): AU, BR, CA, CN, JP, KR, MX.

(22) International Filing Date: 24 September 2002 (24.09.2002) (84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR).

(25) Filing Language: English (26) Publication Language: English


(30) Priority Data: 60/326,438 2 October 2001 (02.10.2001) US (Published: — without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report)

(71) Applicant: REX MEDICAL, L.P. [US/US]; 585 County Line Road, Radnor, PA 19087 (US).

(72) Inventor: MCGUCKIN, James, F., Jr.; 585 County Line Road, Radnor, PA 19087 (US).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: SPINAL IMPLANT AND METHOD OF USE



(57) Abstract: A spinal implant having a smaller transverse cross-sectional dimension in the radially compressed configuration than in a first expanded configuration and a more linear configuration in the second delivery configuration than in the first curved configuration. The implant assumes the radially compressed configuration and second delivery configuration during delivery to the disc space and assumes the first curved configuration and first expanded configuration upon placement within the disc space. The implant further moves towards the radially compressed configuration once implanted in response to a load placed on the implant by the vertebral bodies.

WO 03/028587 A2

SPINAL IMPLANT AND METHOD OF USE

BACKGROUND

This application claims priority from provisional application serial no. 60/326,438, filed October 2, 2001, the entire contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.

Technical Field

This application relates to a spinal implant and more particularly to a spinal disc implant that can be inserted minimally invasively.

Background of Related Art

After removal of the intervertebral disc, it has been recognized that the disc space needs to be filled between the adjacent vertebrae. There are two approaches in the prior art to fill the space: one involving placement of a fusion cage and the other involving an artificial disc. Fusion cages are essentially metallic cages packed with bone to promote bone ingrowth. The fusion cages, designed to promote fusion, provide support between the vertebrae, but eliminate motion. Thus, to achieve stability, they sacrifice mobility.

Artificial disc prostheses of the prior art take many forms. Each form is essentially designed to strike a balance between sufficient stability to support the high loads of the vertebrae and sufficient mobility so as not to curtail movement of the patient. To date, attempts to strike such balance have met with limited success, with the artificial disc providing either stability or mobility, but not both. The need therefore exists for a disc replacement that can better simulate the natural disc by combining adequate support with flexibility.

Additionally, in many intervertebral procedures, major open surgery is required. The advantages of endoscopic (minimally invasive) procedures are well known, e.g. smaller incision causing less trauma and reduced infection potential, shorter hospital stays, lower costs, reduced patient recovery time, and reduced pain for the patient. Therefore, it would be advantageous if such an artificial disc, which achieves a beneficial balance between mobility and stability, could be inserted minimally invasively.

SUMMARY

The present invention overcomes the disadvantages and deficiencies of the prior art. The present invention provides a spinal implant having a first expanded configuration, a first curved configuration, a second radially compressed configuration, and a second delivery configuration. The implant has a smaller transverse cross-sectional dimension in the radially compressed configuration than in the first expanded configuration and has a more linear configuration in the second delivery configuration than in the first curved configuration. The implant assumes the second radially compressed configuration and second delivery configuration during delivery to the disc space and assumes the first curved configuration and first expanded configuration upon placement within the disc space. The implant further moves towards the radially compressed configuration once implanted in response to a load placed on the implant by the vertebral bodies.

In a preferred embodiment, the implant is composed of shape memory material with a memorized position in the first expanded configuration and the first curved configuration. In one embodiment, the implant is C-shaped in the first curved configuration. In an alternate embodiment the implant forms a closed curve in the first curved configuration.

Several different cross-sectional configurations of the implant are disclosed including substantially C-shaped, substantially circular, and substantially rectangular having at least a first and second substantially planar surface.

The implant may include an insert made of a variety of materials such as elastic, viscoelastic or porous material. In one embodiment, the insert is contained by a tongue and groove arrangement.

The present invention also provides a spinal implant having an outer housing composed of shape memory material. The housing has a memorized non-linear configuration and is radially compressible from a first configuration to a second configuration by the vertebral bodies in response to a load placed on the housing and returns to its first configuration upon removal of the load. A filler material can be disposed within the outer housing.

The implant may contain a roughened surface on its outer surface to enhance bone ingrowth.

A method of minimally invasively inserting a spinal implant in a disc space is also provided. The method comprises:

providing a delivery instrument containing the spinal implant in a first configuration;

inserting the delivery instrument through a cannula to the disc space;

deploying the implant from the delivery instrument to position the implant in the disc space, the implant returning towards a memorized second configuration within the disc space; and

removing the delivery instrument and leaving the implant in place, the implant moving between unstressed and stressed positions within the disc space in response to a load placed on the implant.

The method may further comprise the step of distracting the disc space with an inflatable balloon prior to deploying the implant from the delivery instrument. The method may also comprise the step of injecting cold saline into the delivery instrument to maintain the spinal implant in the martensitic state prior to deploying the implant, wherein the implant returns to the austenitic state in response to warming by body temperature when deployed from the delivery instrument. The method may further comprise the step of removing the disc nucleus through the cannula prior to the step of inserting the delivery instrument through the cannula.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Preferred embodiment(s) of the present disclosure are described herein with reference to the drawings wherein:

Fig. 1 is a perspective view of a disc removal device being used in the intra-vertebral space through a cannula (the soft tissues are not shown);

Fig. 1a is a close up top view of the spinal disc nucleus being removed by the device of Figure 1;

Fig. 2 is a perspective view of an implant delivery device being used in the intra-vertebral space (the soft tissues are not shown);

Fig. 2a is a close up top view of a spinal implant of the present invention being delivered from the device of Figure 2;

Fig. 3 is a perspective view of an alternate embodiment of the delivery device being used in the intra-vertebral space having an integral angioplasty style balloon (the cannula is removed for clarity);

Fig. 3a is a close up top view of the delivery device of Figure 3 showing the balloon inflated to distract the vertebral bodies;

Fig. 4 is a view similar to Figure 3 except showing initial actuation of the handle to deliver the spinal implant;

Fig. 4a is a close up view showing the balloon inflated to maintain the space between vertebral bodies and the implant being delivered from the device;

Fig. 5 illustrates the delivery device of Figure 2 being removed from the spine (the soft tissues are not shown) after implantation of the spinal implant;

Fig. 5a is a close up top view of the implant of Figure 2a in place between the vertebral bodies;

Fig. 6 is a cross-sectional view of the spinal implant of Figure 2a in its unstressed and unloaded condition between the vertebral bodies (the soft tissues are not shown);

Fig. 6a is a cross-sectional view of the spinal implant of Figure 2a in an example of a stressed and loaded condition;

Fig. 7 is a perspective view of one embodiment of the implant of the present invention that is in a stressed condition (during delivery and when in use);

Fig. 7a illustrates the implant of Figure 7 in an unstressed condition;

Fig. 7b are cross-sectional views of a filled and unfilled implant of the embodiment of Fig. 7 and Fig. 7a;

Fig. 7c are cross sectional views of alternate embodiments of the Fig. 7 implant;

Fig. 8 is a perspective view of another alternate embodiment of the implant that is in a stressed condition (during delivery and when in use);

Fig. 8a illustrates the implant of Figure 8 in an unstressed condition;

Fig. 8b are cross-sectional views of filled and unfilled alternate embodiments of the implant of Fig. 8;

Fig. 8c is a cross sectional view of an alternate embodiment of the Fig. 8 implant;

Fig. 8d is a cross-sectional view of the implant of Fig. 8;

Fig. 9 is a perspective view of another alternate embodiment of the implant that is in a stressed condition (during delivery and when in use);

Fig. 9a illustrates the implant of Figure 9 in an unstressed condition;

Fig. 9b is a cross-sectional view of an unfilled alternate embodiment of the implant of Fig. 9;

Fig. 9c is a cross-sectional view of a filled alternate embodiment of the implant of Fig. 9;

Fig. 9d is a cross-sectional view of the implant of Fig 9;

Fig. 9e is a cross-sectional view of an alternate embodiment of the Fig. 9 implant;

Fig. 10 is a perspective view of yet another alternate embodiment of the implant of the present invention that is in a stressed condition (during delivery and when in use);

Fig. 10a illustrates the implant of Figure 10 in an unstressed condition;

Figs. 10b and 10c are cross-sectional views of filled and unfilled implants of alternate embodiments of Fig. 10;

Fig. 10d is a cross-sectional view of the implant of Fig 10;

Fig. 10e is a cross-sectional view of an alternate embodiment of the implant of Fig. 10;

Fig. 11 is a perspective view of another alternate embodiment of the implant of the present invention that is in a stressed condition (during delivery and when in use);

Fig. 11a illustrates the implant of Figure 11 in an unstressed condition;

Fig. 11b is a cross sectional view of an unfilled embodiment of the implant of Fig. 11;

Fig. 11c is a cross-sectional view of the implant of Fig. 11;

Figs. 11d and 11e are cross-sectional views of alternate embodiments of the implant of Fig. 11;

Fig. 12 is a perspective view of yet another alternate embodiment of the implant of the present invention that is in a stressed condition (during delivery and when in use);

Fig. 12a illustrates the implant of Figure 12 in an unstressed condition;

Fig. 12b is a cross-sectional view of the implant of Fig. 12;

Fig. 12c is a cross-sectional view of a filled implant embodiment of the implant of Fig. 12;

Figs. 12d and 12e are cross-sectional views of two alternate embodiments of the implant of Fig. 12;

Fig. 13 is a perspective view of an alternate embodiment of the implant having radial slits to increase flexibility;

Fig. 14 is a top view of the implant of Fig. 13 in the arcuate memorized configuration; and

Fig. 15 is a perspective view of another alternate embodiment of the implant having a lattice structure.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring now in detail to the drawings where like reference numerals identify similar or like components throughout the several views, several different embodiments of the spinal implant of the present invention are described herein. The spinal implants have differing cross-sectional configurations and can optionally contain an insert material to fill the void in the otherwise hollow implant and to provide more cushioning if desired. Each of these variations is described in detail below.

The spinal implants of the present invention are designed to be inserted minimally invasively into the disc space, thus enabling a smaller incision to be used in the procedure. This is achieved by the implants being compressible radially to a smaller diameter/height for delivery and being deflectable laterally to a substantially linear configuration. Once ejected from the delivery instrument at the desired site, i.e. the disc space between adjacent vertebrae, the implant returns to a larger diameter/height and to a curved configuration. Implanted in the disc space, the spinal implant is radially compressible in response to vertebral loads placed thereon, but attempts to return to its normal non-compressed (radially larger) configuration, thus providing a spring-like action.

Turning first to the instrumentation for minimally invasively preparing the disc space and for minimally invasively delivering the spinal implant, and with initial reference to Figures 1 and 1A, a device used in the intra-vertebral space to remove the spinal disc nucleus in a minimally invasive fashion is illustrated. The disc removal device 10 has an elongated tubular portion 12 which is inserted through an arthroscopic cannula 14 and has a pair of cutting jaws 16 which are operatively connected to and remotely manipulated, i.e. opened and closed, by proximal handle 18 to cut and remove the disc nucleus. Insertion through arthroscopic cannula 14 enables the disc to be

removed minimally invasively rather than through a larger incision during an open more invasive surgical procedure.

As the nucleus is removed endoscopically, i.e. through a cannula forming a small incision, the implant of the present invention that is designed to replace the removed disc is also advantageously inserted minimally invasively. The instrument of Figure 2, designated generally by reference numeral 20, contains the spinal implant 30 within a distal portion of the elongated tubular member 22. The instrument is inserted through cannula 14.

The implant delivery device 20 has a pusher 24 that is operatively connected to trigger 26 such that actuation of the trigger 26 moves pusher 24 longitudinally distally to advance the implant 30 from the tubular member 22. Figure 2A illustrates the implant 30 partially ejected from device 20; Figure 5A illustrates the implant 30 fully deployed and implanted in the disc space. After placement of the implant 30, the delivery device 20 is removed from the body as shown in Figure 5.

As can be appreciated in the plan view of Figure 5a and the cross-sectional views of Figure 6 and 6a, the implant is C-shaped in configuration as it extends circumferentially along the periphery of the disc space thus providing support along the periphery or circumference of the disc space. It is also contemplated that the implant could be a closed loop, e.g. circular, or extend more than 360 degrees so the end portions overlap. In each of these instances, the implant would be delivered in a substantially straighter configuration and would return to its memorized curved shape upon delivery to the disc space.

The implant 30 can have a variety of closed and open cross-sectional configurations. Exemplary embodiments of such implants of the present invention are shown in Figures 7-15. Each of the implants of Figures 7-15 are preferably composed of shape memory material which enables the implant to assume a second substantially straightened configuration as well as a second radially smaller configuration for delivery to the surgical site and return to a memorized first curved configuration and first radially larger (expanded) configuration for positioning at the disc space. Once delivered to the disc space, the memory characteristics of the implant provide sufficient springiness in response to vertebral loads placed on the device by the spine. That is, the implant can

move between an unstressed and stressed position in response to a load placed on the implant, but returns to (or toward) its original unstressed position upon release of the load. This provides both support for the vertebral bodies plus the desired flexibility. One preferable shape memory material is Nitinol, a nickel titanium alloy, although other shape memory metals or polymeric materials are contemplated.

It should be appreciated that the alternate embodiments of Figures 7-15 which show different configurations of the implant illustrate the implant in a linear configuration for simplicity, it being understood that the implant would be formed into a memorized open or closed curve configuration. The length of the implant could also be longer than that shown in the drawings for assuming the curved shape.

The implant 30 can be hollow or alternatively can form a support or outer housing for a filler material. The insert (filler) material can fill the void in the implant to provide a more cushioning or a more spring-like effect. This "squeezable" insert (filler) can be made of an elastic material such as rubber to provide additional springiness, a viscoelastic material such as menisci and advanced polymers which would compress and more slowly return to its non-compressed state or a porous viscoelastic material such as articular cartilage which will enable exit of fluids through the pores. The insert material can also be resorbable.

The compressed or reduced cross-section condition of the shape memory implant can be achieved by containment within the delivery tube as the inner walls apply stress to the implant. Alternatively, cool saline or other fluid can be injected through the tubular portion of the instrument 20 during delivery of the implant to maintain the implant in the cooler softer martensitic state to facilitate ejection. Once the implant is advanced from the delivery instrument 20, the warmer body temperature will transform the implant to the austenitic memorized condition corresponding to an arcuate shape and larger cross-sectional dimension.

Turning first to the embodiment of Figure 7, implant 40 is circular in transverse cross-section and has an overlapping edge 42. In the delivery position of Figure 7, the diameter of the implant 40 is smaller than the diameter in the unstressed implanted position of Figure 7a. The implant 40 can contain a void 41 in the center or optionally include an insert/filler material 44 as described above to fill the interior of implant 40a.

Both a hollow and a filled version are illustrated in Figure 7b. In the embodiments of Figure 7c, the filler (insert) material and implant cooperate in a tongue and groove arrangement to enhance retention of the filler material within the implant. A groove 45 can be provided in the insert 46 contained within implant 40b to receive tongue 48 or alternatively a groove 47 can be provided in the implant 40c.

In the alternate embodiment of Figure 8, the overlapping portions of the implant 50 are spaced apart, creating a gap 53 by overlapping edge 52. The implant (50a), including the gap can be filled with insert material 54 or alternatively be devoid of such material as in implant 50b. Figure 8c shows the tongue and groove arrangement, similar to Figure 7c, with the groove 55 for receiving tongue 57 being provided in the insert 56 of implant 50c. A groove 58 can alternatively be provided in the implant 50 to receive tongue 59 of insert 51 (see Figs 8a and 8d).

In the alternate embodiment of Figure 9, the implant 60 has a closed loop, i.e. a circular, transverse cross-sectional configuration. The implant can be hollow (see implant 60a of Fig. 9b) or alternatively can be filled with insert material 64 (see implant 60b of Fig. 9c). Figure 9d shows the tongue and groove arrangement, similar to Figure 7c, of implant 60d with the groove 65 being provided in the insert 66 to receive tongue 67. Alternatively, the groove can be provided in the implant such as groove 68 provided in the implant 60c of Figure 9e.

In Figure 10, implant 70 has an open loop configuration providing a C-shape transverse cross-section. The implant can be hollow (see implant 70a of Figure 10b) or can include an insert material 74 (implant 70b of Figure 10c). Tongue and groove arrangements are illustrated in the cross-sectional views of Figures 10d and 10e, with Figure 10d reflecting the implant 70 of Figure 10 having groove 75 formed in insert material 76 and Figure 10e showing an alternate embodiment with the tongue 77 on insert material 78 of implant 70c.

In Figure 11, a C-shaped cross-sectional implant 80 is illustrated. This implant 80 resembles implant 70 of Figure 10 in that it has an open curved configuration. It differs from the embodiments of Figure 10, however, in that it is more oval in cross-section. As with the previous embodiments, insert material 84 can be provided as well as tongue and

groove arrangements (85, 87 and 88, 89 in implants 80b and 80c, respectively) as shown in Figures 11d and 11e. Figure 11b illustrates implant 80a devoid of filler material.

In the embodiment of Figure 12, a C-shaped implant 90 is also illustrated, except that it is more in the form of an open rectangle in cross-section. Planar surfaces 91, 92 increase the contact area with the vertebral bodies. Insert material 94 can optionally be provided in implant 90a as shown in Figure 12c. Alternative tongue and groove arrangements are illustrated in the cross-sectional views of Figure 12d and 12e, with the groove 95 of implant 90b provided on insert material 96 to receive tongue 98 (Fig. 12d) and the groove 99 being provided on implant 90c to receive tongue 97 (Fig. 12e).

Figures 13-15 illustrate alternative embodiments of the implant to increase flexibility during delivery and during compression once inserted. In Fig. 13, implant 100 has a series of fenestrations 102 along its length. Narrower slits can alternatively be provided. Although shown extending in an orientation transverse to the disc space (longitudinally aligned with the spine) the fenestrations can alternatively be angled. The circumferential slits or openings can be spaced further apart or closer together and can extend for differing degrees around the circumference. When in the memorized curved configuration upon implantation, the slits spread to form wider gaps as shown in the top of view of the implant of Fig. 14. A lattice structure 118 is illustrated in Fig. 15, also to provide increased flexibility. Filler material can be provided in each of these inserts.

Any of the foregoing implants can be provided with a roughened surface, such as a textured surface, to enhance bone ingrowth to enhance implant retention in the disc space. Surface finishes such as hydroxyapatite, calcium silicate and calcium phosphate could also be applied to allow for bone ingrowth.

In use, the disc nucleus is removed arthroscopically, i.e. through cannula 14, by device 10. Cannula 14 can optionally be placed by first inserting a needle and wire, removing the needle and sequentially placing and removing dilators of progressively increasing diameter over the wire until the desired cannula diameter is reached. After removal of the disc, device 10 is withdrawn through cannula 14 and then delivery device 20, containing any of the foregoing implants, is inserted through the cannula. The implant is contained within the delivery device 20 in a substantially straightened configuration and in a reduced diameter (compressed/stressed) configuration, either by

the walls of the device or by injection of cold saline to transform the implant to the martensitic state as described above. The implant is then ejected from the tubular member 22 of the delivery device 20 and implanted in the disc space between the vertebral bodies. The delivery instrument 20 and cannula 14 are withdrawn from the body. Figures 6 and 6a illustrate the implant 30 positioned within the disc space in an unstressed position (Fig 6) and an example of a stressed position (Fig 6a) to illustrate the compressibility of the implant in response to vertebral loads. When the load is released, the implant returns to the unstressed position of Figure 6 or at least to a less compressed configuration, depending on the gap between adjacent vertebrae. The degree of compressibility of the implant will depend on the applied load.

To facilitate insertion and enhance distraction of the disc space, a balloon can be provided as part of the implant delivery system. This is illustrated in Figures 3 and 4 (the cannula is not shown). The delivery instrument 120 has an elongated tubular portion 122 and a trigger 126 as in the embodiment of Figure 1. An axial bore 128 is formed along the length of device 120 to receive catheter 132 having an inflatable balloon 134, such as an angioplasty balloon, at the distal end. The proximal end 136 of the catheter has an inflation portion for inflating the balloon 134 within the disc space as shown in Figure 3a. This inflation aids to distract the vertebrae to facilitate insertion of the implant. After inflation, trigger 126 is squeezed in the direction of the arrow of Figure 4 to eject the implant contained in the tubular portion 122 as shown in Figure 4a. After implantation, the balloon 134 is deflated and instrument 120 and catheter 132 are withdrawn from the surgical site, leaving the implant in the disc space. It should be appreciated that the balloon catheter can be either an integral part of the delivery instrument or a separate device removably inserted through the bore of the delivery instrument.

While the above description contains many specifics, those specifics should not be construed as limitations on the scope of the disclosure, but merely as exemplifications of preferred embodiments thereof. For example, in addition to the substantially C-shaped, circular and rectangular cross-sectional configurations, substantially hexagonal, substantially octagonal as well as other configurations are contemplated. Those skilled in the art will envision many other possible variations that are within the scope and spirit of the disclosure as defined by the claims appended hereto.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A spinal implant having a first expanded configuration, a first curved configuration, a second radially compressed configuration, and a second delivery configuration, the implant having a smaller transverse cross-sectional dimension in the radially compressed configuration than in the first expanded configuration and having a more linear configuration in the second delivery configuration than in the first curved configuration, the implant assuming the second radially compressed configuration and second delivery configuration during delivery to the disc space and assuming the first curved configuration and first expanded configuration upon placement within the disc space, the implant further moving towards the radially compressed configuration once implanted in response to a load placed on the implant by the vertebral bodies.
2. The spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the implant is composed of shape memory material with a memorized position in the first expanded configuration and the first curved configuration.
3. The spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the implant is substantially C-shaped in the first curved configuration.
4. The spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the implant forms a closed curve in the first curved configuration.
5. The spinal implant of claim 2, wherein the implant is substantially C-shaped in transverse cross section.
6. The spinal implant of claim 2, wherein the implant is substantially circular in transverse cross section.
7. The spinal implant of claim 2, wherein the implant is substantially rectangular in transverse cross section having at least a first and second substantially planar surface.

8. The spinal implant of claim 1, further comprising an insert material contained within the implant.
9. The spinal implant of claim 8, wherein the insert material is contained within the implant by a tongue and groove arrangement.
10. The spinal implant of claim 2, further comprising an insert material contained within the implant.
11. The spinal implant of claim 10, wherein the insert material is contained within the implant by a tongue and groove arrangement.
12. The spinal implant of claim 1, wherein an outer surface of the implant is roughened to enhance bone ingrowth.
13. The spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the implant includes a plurality of openings in an outer surface to enhance flexibility.
14. The spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the implant includes a plurality of grooves in an outer surface to enhance flexibility.
15. A spinal implant having an outer housing composed of shape memory material, the outer housing having a memorized non-linear configuration and being radially compressible from a first configuration to a second configuration by the vertebral bodies in response to a load placed on the outer housing and returning to its first configuration upon removal of the load.
16. The spinal implant of claim 15, further comprising a filler material disposed within the outer housing.

17. The spinal implant of claim 15, wherein the outer support contains a roughened surface to enhance bone ingrowth.

18. The spinal implant of claim 16, wherein the filler material is retained by a tongue and groove arrangement.

19. A method of minimally invasively inserting a spinal implant in a disc space comprising:

providing a delivery instrument containing the spinal implant in a first configuration;

inserting the delivery instrument through a cannula to the disc space;


deploying the implant from the delivery instrument to position the implant in the disc space, the implant returning towards a memorized second configuration within the disc space; and


removing the delivery instrument and leaving the implant in place, the implant moving between unstressed and stressed positions within the disc space in response to a load placed on the implant.


20. The method of claim 19, further comprising the step of distracting the disc space with an inflatable balloon prior to deploying the implant from the delivery instrument.


21. The method of claim 19, further comprising the step of injecting cold saline into the delivery instrument to maintain the spinal implant in the martensitic state prior to deploying the implant, the implant returning to the austenitic state in response to warming by body temperature when deployed from the delivery instrument.




22. The method of claim 19, further comprising the step removing the disc nucleus through the cannula prior to the step of inserting the delivery instrument through the cannula.














Fig 6a




Fig 6




FIG 7




FIG 7a




FIG 7b






FIG 7c




FIG 8




FIG 8a





FIG 8b




FIG 8c




FIG 8d




FIG 9




FIG 9a




FIG 9b




FIG 9c




FIG 9d




FIG 9e




FIG 10.




FIG 10a




FIG 10b




FIG 10c




FIG 10d




FIG 10e




FIG 11




FIG 11a




FIG 11b




FIG 11c




FIG 11d




FIG 11e




FIG 12




FIG 12a




FIG 12b




FIG 12c




FIG 12d




FIG 12e

FIG. 13





FIG. 14



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date
10 April 2003 (10.04.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/028587 A3(51) International Patent Classification⁷: A61F 2/44, 2/46 (81) Designated States (national): AU, BR, CA, CN, JP, KR, MX.

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/30263

(84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR).

(22) International Filing Date:
24 September 2002 (24.09.2002)

(25) Filing Language:

English

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

(30) Priority Data:
60/326,438 2 October 2001 (02.10.2001) US(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
21 August 2003


(71) Applicant: REX MEDICAL, L.P. [US/US]; 585 County Line Road, Radnor, PA 19087 (US).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(72) Inventor: MCGUCKIN, James, E., Jr.; 585 County Line Road, Radnor, PA 19087 (US).

(74) Agent: GERSHON, Neil, D.; Chief Patent Counsel, Rex Medical, 2023 Summer Street, Stamford, CT 06905 (US).

(54) Title: SPINAL IMPLANT AND METHOD OF USE



WO 03/028587 A3

(57) Abstract: A spinal implant having a smaller transverse cross-sectional dimension in the radially compressed configuration than in a first expanded configuration and a more linear configuration in the second delivery configuration than in the first curved configuration. The implant assumes the radially compressed configuration and second delivery configuration during delivery to the disc space and assumes the first curved configuration and first expanded configuration upon placement within the disc space. The implant further moves towards the radially compressed configuration once implanted in response to a load placed on the implant by the vertebral bodies.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 02/30263

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 A61F2/44 A61F2/46

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 716 416 A (LIN CHIH-I) 10 February 1998 (1998-02-10) figures column 2, line 26 -column 3, line 66	1-4,6,8, 10
Y	---	7,9, 11-14
Y	WO 01 06962 A (PFLEIDERER MARTIN ;SANDERS MARC (GB); BIRKBECK ALEC PAUL (GB); DEP) 1 February 2001 (2001-02-01) claims 1,2,4,6-9,14,15; figures 1-7,12	7,9, 11-14
A	---	1-4,8,10
X	US 3 867 728 A (KAHN PAUL ET AL) 25 February 1975 (1975-02-25) figures 20-24 column 12, line 37 -column 13, line 36 ---	1-3,7,12
	-/-	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

5 December 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

03.07.2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA
 European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Stach, R

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 02/30263

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6 264 695 B1 (STOY VLADIMIR A) 24 July 2001 (2001-07-24) figures 3-6,17B column 11, line 33 -column 12, line 50 ---	1-3
X	US 5 919 235 A (BAUMGARTNER WALTER ET AL) 6 July 1999 (1999-07-06) claims 1-3; figures 1-3 column 4, line 16 - line 26 column 5, line 6 - line 35 ---	1,2,7
P,X	WO 02 17824 A (SDGI HOLDINGS INC ;TRIEU HAI H (US)) 7 March 2002 (2002-03-07) figures 13,15A-17,22N,32 -----	1-7, 12-14

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US 02/30263

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claims Nos.: 19-22 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

see additional sheet

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

1-14

Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. Claims: 1-14

A spinal implant having a first expanded and a first curved configuration, a second radially compressed and a second delivery configuration, the implant having a smaller transverse cross-sectional dimension in the radially compressed configuration than in the first expanded configuration and a more linear configuration in the second delivery configuration than in the first curved configuration.

(Problem: Reducing the size of an implant during insertion in the body to facilitate the surgery)

2. Claims: 15-18

A spinal implant having an outer housing composed of shape memory material, the outer housing having a memorized non-linear configuration and being radially, elastically compressible by a load transferred from the vertebral bodies (Problem: Providing an implant with the ability to return to its original shape after being compressed by loads transferred through the adjacent vertebral bodies)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 02/30263

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)			Publication date
US 5716416	A 10-02-1998	NONE			
WO 0106962	A 01-02-2001	NL 1012719 C1 AU 6454100 A CA 2378364 A1 CN 1372449 T EP 1206227 A1 WO 0106962 A1 JP 2003505149 T			30-01-2001 13-02-2001 01-02-2001 02-10-2002 22-05-2002 01-02-2001 12-02-2003
US 3867728	A 25-02-1975	CA 992255 A1 DE 2203242 A1 FR 2124815 A5 GB 1306660 A SE 391122 B			06-07-1976 10-08-1972 22-09-1972 14-02-1973 07-02-1977
US 6264695	B1 24-07-2001	AU 7843200 A CA 2388794 A1 EP 1231868 A2 JP 2003510129 T WO 0122902 A2 US 2003055506 A1			30-04-2001 05-04-2001 21-08-2002 18-03-2003 05-04-2001 20-03-2003
US 5919235	A 06-07-1999	EP 0773008 A1 JP 9164156 A US 6165218 A US 2003018390 A1			14-05-1997 24-06-1997 26-12-2000 23-01-2003
WO 0217824	A 07-03-2002	AU 8535101 A EP 1313412 A2 WO 0217824 A2 US 2003023311 A1 US 2002026244 A1			13-03-2002 28-05-2003 07-03-2002 30-01-2003 28-02-2002

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)